arXiv:1608.07914v1 [math.AP] 29 Aug 2016

GLOBAL LIPSCHITZ STABILITY FOR A FRACTIONAL INVERSE TRANSPORT PROBLEM BY CARLEMAN ESTIMATES

ATSUSHI KAWAMOTO AND MANABU MACHIDA

Abstract. We consider a fractional radiative transport equation, where the time derivative is of half order in the Caputo sense. By establishing Carleman estimates, we prove the global Lipschitz stability in determining the coefficients of the one-dimensional time-fractional radiative transport equation of half-order.

1. Introduction

Anomalous diffusion is often studied using fractional diffusion equations [24, 26]. In particular, anomalous diffusion is observed for tracer particles moving in an aquifer [2]. An analysis of column experiments revealed a power-law behavior of the waiting-time function of the continuous-time random walk [12], which has motivated the use of the fractional diffusion equations. However, recent study shows that such fractional diffusion equations fail to explain the flow of tracer particles in column experiments especially during short time periods [30]. When considering the fact that the time-fractional diffusion equation is obtained in the asymptotic limit of the time-fractional radiative transport equation for long time and large distance [22], our attention is driven to the study of the latter equation as a more precise model of anomalous transport.

Let us consider the following time fractional radiative transport equation with the initial condition and Cauchy data in one dimension.

$$\begin{cases} \left(\partial_t^{1/2} + v\partial_x + \sigma_t(x,v)\right) u(x,v,t) \\ \quad = \sigma_s(x,v) \displaystyle\int_V p(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv', \quad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\ \qquad\qquad u(x,v,0) = a(x,v), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\ \qquad\qquad u(x,v,t) = g(x,v,t), \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\partial_t^{1/2}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative [6] of half order given by

$$\partial_t^{1/2} u(\cdot,\cdot,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial_\tau u(\cdot,\cdot,\tau)}{\sqrt{t-\tau}}\,d\tau.$$

Here we defined

$$Q = \{(x,t);\ x \in \Omega,\ 0 < t < T\}, \qquad \Omega = (0,\ell), \qquad V = \{v \in \mathbb{R};\ v_0 \le |v| \le v_1\},$$

with positive constants ℓ, v_0, v_1. We define Γ_+ and Γ_- by

$$\Gamma_\pm = \{(x,v) \in \partial\Omega \times V;\ \pm v < 0 \text{ at } x = 0,\ \pm v > 0 \text{ at } x = \ell\}.$$

We will use such notations that for a function $f(x,v)$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_+} f(x,v)\,dSdv &= \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} f(0,v)\,dv + \int_{v_0}^{v_1} f(\ell,v)\,dv,\\ \int_{\Gamma_-} f(x,v)\,dSdv &= \int_{v_0}^{v_1} f(0,v)\,dv + \int_{-v_1}^{-v_0} f(\ell,v)\,dv.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we introduce $\nu = \nu(x)$ on $x \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\nu(0) = -1$ and $\nu(\ell) = 1$. We assume

$$\sigma_t \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V)), \qquad \sigma_s \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V)),$$

and

$$p \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(V \times V)), \qquad p > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times V \times V.$$

The phase function $p(x,v,v')$ is assumed to be known, whereas σ_t, σ_s, or both are unknown.

The time-fractional radiative transport equation is approximated by the time-fractional diffusion equation in the asymptotic limit [22]. Inverse problems for time-fractional diffusion equations with the Caputo derivative ∂_t^α have been intensively studied during the last decade. Uniqueness in determining α and the diffusion coefficient was proven [8]. A Carleman estimate was established for the time-fractional diffusion equation with $\alpha = 1/2$ [29]. Using the Carleman estimate technique, conditional stability in determining a zeroth-order coefficient for $\alpha = 1/2$ was proven [32]. Recovering the absorption coefficient was considered [16]. Reconstruction scheme for α was given in [13]. Simultaneous reconstruction of the initial status and boundary value was considered [21]. Recently, unique continuation property was proved for arbitrary α [20].

In this paper we prove the global Lipschitz stability when determining $\sigma_t(x,v)$ and $\sigma_s(x,v)$ from boundary measurements. The proof is based on Carleman estimates first established in [7]. The methodology was first used in inverse problems for proving the global uniqueness [5]. See [17] and references therein. Our proof particularly relies on the method developed to show the global Lipschitz stability for the inverse source problem of parabolic equations [15]. See a review article [31] for further details. For the usual radiative transport equation with ∂_t, the Lipschitz stability was shown for $-T < t < T$ [18], for the purely absorbing case of $\sigma_s \equiv 0$ [11], and for $0 < t < T$ [23]. The recovery of σ_t was also considered in [1]. The exact controllability was proved [19] and the case that σ_t depends on x, v, t was considered in [25]. See [4] and references therein for the Hölder-type stability analysis using the albedo operator.

2. Main results

We define

$$X = H^2(0,T; H^{1,0}(\Omega \times V)) \cap L^\infty(0,T; H^{2,0}(\Omega \times V)).$$

For an arbitrarily fixed constant $M > 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ u \in X;\ \|u\|_X + \|\partial_x u\|_{H^1(\Omega\times(0,T);L^2(V))} \le M \right\}.$$

Let t_0 be an arbitrarily fixed time on $(0,T)$. We take $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < t_0 - \delta < t_0 < t_0 + \delta < T.$$

Let us consider two total attenuations $\sigma_t^{(1)}(x,v)$ and $\sigma_t^{(2)}(x,v)$ with $\sigma_t^{(1)}(0,v) = \sigma_t^{(2)}(0,v)$ for all $v \in V$, and two scattering coefficients $\sigma_s^{(1)}(x,v)$ and $\sigma_s^{(2)}(x,v)$ with $\sigma_s^{(1)}(0,v) = \sigma_s^{(2)}(0,v)$ for all $v \in V$. We perform boundary measurements twice for the pairs of initial and boundary values, (a_1, g_1) and (a_2, g_2). Let $u_j^{(1)}$ and $u_j^{(2)}$ be the corresponding solutions to (1) for $a_j(x,v)$ and $g_j(x,v,t)$ $(j = 1,2)$. We introduce a 2×2 matrix $R(x,v,t)$ as

$$R(x,v,t) = \begin{pmatrix} -u_1^{(2)}(x,v,t) & \int_V p(x,v,v')u_1^{(2)}(x,v',t)\,dv' \\ -u_2^{(2)}(x,v,t) & \int_V p(x,v,v')u_2^{(2)}(x,v',t)\,dv' \end{pmatrix}.$$

We choose (a_1, g_1) and (a_2, g_2) so that $\det R(x,v,t_0) \neq 0$ is satisfied for a chosen time $t_0 \in (0,T)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Simultaneous determination of σ_t, σ_s)**.** *Let $u_j^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U}$ $(i = 1,2,\ j = 1,2)$, $\|\sigma_t^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times V)} \leq M$ $(i = 1,2)$, and $\|\sigma_s^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times V)} \leq M$ $(i = 1,2)$. Moreover we suppose $u_j^{(2)} \in C^1(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(V))$, $\partial_t^{1/2} u_j^{(2)} \in C^1([0,T]; L^\infty(\Omega \times V))$ for $j = 1,2$. We assume that $\det R(x,v,t_0) \neq 0$ in $\overline{\Omega \times V}$. Then there exists $C = C(t_0, \delta, M) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma_t^{(1)} - \sigma_t^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} + \|\sigma_s^{(1)} - \sigma_s^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\| u_j^{(1)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) - u_j^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left[\left| \partial_t (u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t^2 (u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t \partial_x (u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)}) \right|^2 \right] dS dv dt \\
&+ C \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_V \left| \partial_x \partial_t \left(u_j^{(1)}(0,v,t) - u_j^{(2)}(0,v,t) \right) \right|^2 dv dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T - t_0)$. Here, $C(t_0, \delta, M) \to \infty$ as $M \to \infty$.

Remark 2.2. If we assume that $\partial_x \partial_t [u_j^{(1)}(0,v,t) - u_j^{(2)}(0,v,t)] = 0$ $(j = 1,2)$ for $v \in [v_0, v_1]$ between $t = t_0 - \delta$ and $t = t_0 + \delta$, the following inequalities are deduced from Theorem 2.1.

$$\begin{cases}
\|\sigma_t^{(1)} - \sigma_t^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} \leq C \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\| u_j^{(1)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) - u_j^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
\qquad\qquad + C \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\| u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} \right\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma_+;H^2(t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta))}, \\
\|\sigma_s^{(1)} - \sigma_s^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} \leq C \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\| u_j^{(1)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) - u_j^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
\qquad\qquad + C \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left\| u_j^{(1)} - u_j^{(2)} \right\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma_+;H^2(t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta))}.
\end{cases}$$

These inequalities mean that the coefficients σ_t and σ_s are estimated by the interior values at an arbitrary time t_0 and the boundary values between $t = t_0 - \delta$ and $t = t_0 + \delta$. For example, Theorem 2.1 means the global Lipschitz stability for

optical tomography [3] when propagation of light, for some reason, shows anomalous transport. It is known that the mass distribution of tracer particles moving in an aquifer reveals non-Gaussian behavior [2]. If such flow is governed by fractional radiative transport equations [27, 28], Theorem 2.1 guarantees the global Lipschitz stability in determining the absorption and scattering properties of the area of interest when the concentration of tracer particles is measured with pumping wells surrounding the area.

If one of the coefficients is known, we can determine σ_t or σ_s from a single measurement. In this case, $R(x,v,t)$ is a scalar such that $R(x,v,t) = -u_1^{(2)}(x,v,t)$ or $R(x,v,t) = \int_V p(x,v,v')u_1^{(2)}(x,v',t)\,dv'$ for the reconstruction of σ_t or σ_s, respectively. The following theorems can be proved similar to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3 (Determination of σ_t)**.** *Let $u^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U}$ $(i=1,2)$, $\|\sigma_t^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times V)} \le M$ $(i=1,2)$. Moreover we suppose $u^{(2)} \in C^1(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(V))$, $\partial_t^{1/2} u^{(2)} \in C^1([0,T]; L^\infty(\Omega\times V))$, and $u^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \neq 0$ in $\overline{\Omega\times V}$. Then there exists $C = C(t_0,\delta,M) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma_t^{(1)} - \sigma_t^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&\le C \left\| u^{(1)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) - u^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&+C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left[\left| \partial_t (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t^2 (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t \partial_x (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 \right] dS dv dt \\
&+C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_V \left| \partial_x \partial_t \left(u^{(1)}(0,v,t) - u^{(2)}(0,v,t) \right) \right|^2 dv dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T-t_0)$. Here, $C(t_0,\delta,M) \to \infty$ as $M \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.4 (Determination of σ_s)**.** *Let $u^{(i)} \in \mathcal{U}$ $(i=1,2)$, $\|\sigma_s^{(i)}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times V)} \le M$ $(i=1,2)$. Moreover we suppose $u^{(2)} \in C^1(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(V))$, $\partial_t^{1/2} u^{(2)} \in C^1([0,T]; L^\infty(\Omega\times V))$, and $\int_V p(\cdot,\cdot,v')u^{(2)}(\cdot,v',t_0)\,dv' \neq 0$ in $\overline{\Omega\times V}$. Then there exists $C = C(t_0,\delta,M) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sigma_s^{(1)} - \sigma_s^{(2)}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&\le C \left\| u^{(1)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) - u^{(2)}(\cdot,\cdot,t_0) \right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&+C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left[\left| \partial_t (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t^2 (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 + \left| \partial_t \partial_x (u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}) \right|^2 \right] dS dv dt \\
&+C \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_V \left| \partial_x \partial_t \left(u^{(1)}(0,v,t) - u^{(2)}(0,v,t) \right) \right|^2 dv dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \delta < \min(t_0, T-t_0)$. Here, $C(t_0,\delta,M) \to \infty$ as $M \to \infty$.

When reconstructing the total attenuation and scattering coefficient from boundary measurements, we wish to consider the stability of recovering

$$r_t(x,v) = \sigma_t^{(1)}(x,v) - \sigma_t^{(2)}(x,v), \qquad r_s(x,v) = \sigma_s^{(1)}(x,v) - \sigma_s^{(2)}(x,v),$$

where $r_t(x,v), r_s(x,v) \in C^1(\Omega; L^\infty(V))$ with $r_t(0,v) = r_s(0,v) = 0$ for $v \in V$. As we will see below, this inverse coefficient problem reduces to an inverse source

problem by subtraction. Let us define

$$\mathbf{r}(x,v)=\begin{pmatrix} r_t(x,v) \\ r_s(x,v) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{u}(x,v,t)=\begin{pmatrix} u_1^{(1)}(x,v,t)-u_1^{(2)}(x,v,t) \\ u_2^{(1)}(x,v,t)-u_2^{(2)}(x,v,t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By writing $\sigma_t^{(1)}$, $\sigma_s^{(1)}$ as σ_t, σ_s, we obtain the following time-fractional radiative transport equation.

$$\begin{cases} \left(\partial_t^{1/2}+v\partial_x+\sigma_t(x,v)\right)\mathbf{u}(x,v,t)=\sigma_s(x,v)\displaystyle\int_V p(x,v,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t)\,dv' \\ \qquad\qquad +R(x,v,t)\mathbf{r}(x,v), \quad (x,t)\in Q, \quad v\in V, \\ \qquad\qquad \mathbf{u}(x,v,0)=\mathbf{0}, \quad x\in\Omega, \quad v\in V, \\ \qquad\qquad \mathbf{u}(x,v,t)=\mathbf{0}, \quad (x,v)\in\Gamma_-, \quad t\in(0,T). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Now our task is to reconstruct $\mathbf{r}(x,v)$ in the source term from boundary measurements.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In §3, we derive a first-order equation in time by multiplying $\partial_t^{1/2}$ by the fractional radiative transport equation in (1). In §4, we establish our key Carleman estimate. In §5, we prove Theorem 2.1. Another Carleman estimate necessary in §5 is derived in Appendix A.

3. From one-half to one

Since we have no Carleman estimates for time-fractional radiative transport equations, we begin by obtaining an equation with the time derivative ∂_t by taking the t-derivative of half-order in the original equation. The following Proposition ensures the relation $\partial_t^{1/2}\partial_t^{1/2}=\partial_t$ in the calculation developed in this section.

Proposition 3.1 (Xu-Cheng-Yamamoto [29]). *Let $\tilde{u}\in C[0,T]\cap W^{1,1}(0,T)$ and*

$$\tilde{u}(0)=\partial_t^{\alpha_2}\tilde{u}(0)=0.$$

Then for $0<\alpha_1+\alpha_2\le 1$,

$$\partial_t^{\alpha_1}\partial_t^{\alpha_2}\tilde{u}(t)=\partial_t^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\tilde{u}(t).$$

Similar to Yamamoto and Zhang [32], we introduce a new vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,t)$ as

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,t)=\mathbf{u}(x,v,t)-\frac{2t^{1/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}R(x,v,0)\mathbf{r}(x,v),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. By differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to t, we obtain $\partial_t\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ as

$$\partial_t\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,t)=\partial_t\mathbf{u}(x,v,t)-\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)t^{1/2}}R(x,v,0)\mathbf{r}(x,v). \tag{3}$$

We note that

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,0)=0.$$

Using $\partial_t^{1/2}t^{1/2}=\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/2)$, we obtain

$$\partial_t^{1/2}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,t)=\partial_t^{1/2}\mathbf{u}(x,v,t)-R(x,v,0)\mathbf{r}(x,v).$$

The above equation implies

$$\partial_t^{1/2}\hat{\mathbf{u}}(x,v,0)=0.$$

Now we can alternatively compute $\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{u}}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \partial_t^{1/2} \partial_t^{1/2} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \partial_t^{1/2} \left(-v\partial_x \mathbf{u} - \sigma_t \mathbf{u} + \sigma_s \int_V p\mathbf{u}\, dv' + R\mathbf{r} \right) \\
&= -v\partial_x \left(-v\partial_x \mathbf{u} - \sigma_t \mathbf{u} + \sigma_s \int_V p\mathbf{u}\, dv' + R\mathbf{r} \right) - \sigma_t \left(-v\partial_x \mathbf{u} - \sigma_t \mathbf{u} + \sigma_s \int_V p\mathbf{u}\, dv' + R\mathbf{r} \right) \\
&+\sigma_s \int_V p \left(-v'\partial_x \mathbf{u}(x,v',t) - \sigma_t(x,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t) + \sigma_s(x,v') \int_V p\mathbf{u}\, dv'' + R(x,v',t)\mathbf{r}(x,v') \right) dv' \\
&+ \left(\partial_t^{1/2} R \right) \mathbf{r} \\
&= v^2 \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u} - vR\partial_x \mathbf{r} - \left(v\partial_x R + \sigma_t R - \partial_t^{1/2} R \right) \mathbf{r} \\
&+2v\sigma_t \partial_x \mathbf{u} + \left(v(\partial_x \sigma_t) + \sigma_t^2 \right) \mathbf{u} - \left(v\partial_x + \sigma_t \right) \sigma_s \int_V p(x,v,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t)\, dv' \\
&+\sigma_s \int_V p \left(-v'\partial_x \mathbf{u}(x,v',t) - \sigma_t(x,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t) + \sigma_s \int_V p\mathbf{u}\, dv'' + R(x,v',t)\mathbf{r}(x,v') \right) dv'.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

From (3) and (4), we arrive at the following equation.

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \mathbf{u}(x,v,t) - v^2 \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u} - L_1 \mathbf{u}(x,v,t) = \displaystyle\int_V K(x,v,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t)\, dv' \\
\qquad\qquad +\mathbf{f}(x,v,t), \quad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\
\qquad\qquad \mathbf{u}(x,v,0) = \mathbf{0}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\
\qquad\qquad \mathbf{u}(x,v,t) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T).
\end{cases}
\tag{5}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_1 \mathbf{u}(x,v,t) &= 2v\sigma_t(x,v)\partial_x \mathbf{u}(x,v,t) + \left(v\partial_x \sigma_t(x,v) + \sigma_t^2(x,v) \right) \mathbf{u}(x,v,t), \\
K(x,v,v')\mathbf{u}(x,v',t) &= -v\partial_x \left(\sigma_s(x,v) p(x,v,v') \right) \mathbf{u}(x,v',t) \\
&- \sigma_s(x,v) p(x,v,v') \left((v+v')\partial_x + \sigma_t(x,v) + \sigma_t(x,v') \right) \mathbf{u}(x,v',t) \\
&+ \sigma_s(x,v)\mathbf{u}(x,v',t) \int_V \sigma_s(x,v'') p(x,v,v'') p(x,v'',v')\, dv'',
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{f}(x,v,t) = -vR(x,v,t)\partial_x \mathbf{r}(x,v) \\
&- \left[v\partial_x R(x,v,t) + \sigma_t(x,v)R(x,v,t) - \partial_t^{1/2} R(x,v,t) - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{1/2}} R(x,v,0) \right] \mathbf{r}(x,v) \\
&+\sigma_s(x,v) \int_V p(x,v,v') R(x,v',t)\mathbf{r}(x,v')\, dv'.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

4. Carleman estimate

Hereafter in this paper, we let C denote generic positive constants. Let us look at one component of the vector equation (5) and consider the following equation.

$$\begin{cases} L_0u(x,v,t) - L_1u(x,v,t) - \displaystyle\int_V K(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv' = f(x,v,t), \\ \qquad\qquad (x,t) \in Q, \quad v \in V, \\ u(x,v,0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad v \in V, \\ u(x,v,t) = 0, \quad (x,v) \in \Gamma_-, \quad t \in (0,T), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where

$$L_0u(x,v,t) = \partial_t u(x,v,t) - v^2\partial_x^2 u(x,v,t).$$

We introduce the following $d(x)$.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a function $d \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that*

$$d(x) > 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad x \in \Omega, \qquad \partial_x d(x) < 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

As was done in [9, 15, 31], we use the weight function α as

$$\alpha(x,t) = \frac{e^{\lambda d(x)} - e^{2\lambda\|d\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}}{t(T-t)}. \tag{8}$$

We define

$$\varphi(x,t) = \frac{e^{\lambda d(x)}}{t(T-t)}.$$

We set

$$z(x,v,t) = e^{s\alpha(x,t)}u(x,v,t).$$

We note that $\alpha < 0$ in $\Omega \times (0,T)$, and

$$z(x,v,0) = z(x,v,T) = 0, \qquad \partial_x z(x,v,0) = \partial_x z(x,v,T) = 0,$$

for $(x,v) \in \Omega \times V$.

Proposition 4.2 (Carleman estimate)**.** *Let $u \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying (7). Then there exist positive constants λ_0, s_0, and C such that for arbitrary $\lambda > \lambda_0$, the following estimate holds for all $s > s_0$ by choosing suitable $s_0 = s_0(\lambda)$ and $C = C(s_0, \lambda_0)$.*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q\times V} \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t u|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|u|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdvdt \\ &\le C\int_{Q\times V} |f|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdvdt + Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+} \left(|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2\right) dSdvdt \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_V\int_0^T |\partial_x u(0,v,t)|^2\,dtdv. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show the Carleman estimate for L_0u. Suppose we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_V\int_Q \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t u|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|u|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &\le \int_V\int_Q |L_0u|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv + Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+} \left(|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2\right) dSdvdt \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_V\int_0^T |\partial_x u(0,v,t)|^2\,dtdv. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}|L_0u|^2 &\le C|f|^2 + C|L_1u|^2 + C\left|\int_V K(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv'\right|^2 \\ &\le C|f|^2 + C|\partial_x u|^2 + C|u|^2 + C\left|\int_V K(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv'\right|^2,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_V\int_Q \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t u|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|u|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &\le \int_V\int_Q \left[C|f|^2 + C|\partial_x u|^2 + C|u|^2 + C\left|\int_V K(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv'\right|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2\right)\,dSdvdt \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_V\int_0^T |\partial_x u(0,v,t)|^2\,dtdv.\end{aligned}$$

If we notice

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_V\int_Q\left|\int_V K(x,v,v')u(x,v',t)\,dv'\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &\le C\int_V\int_Q\left(\int_V\left[|u(x,v',t)|^2 + |\partial_x u(x,v',t)|^2\right]\,dv'\right)e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &\le C|V|\int_V\int_Q\left(|u(x,v,t)|^2 + |\partial_x u(x,v,t)|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv, \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_V\int_Q \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t u|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|u|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &\le C\int_V\int_Q |f|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv + C\int_V\int_Q\left(|\partial_x u|^2 + |u|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha}\,dxdtdv \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2\right)\,dSdvdt \\ &+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_V\int_0^T |\partial_x u(0,v,t)|^2\,dtdv.\end{aligned}$$

Taking sufficiently large $s > 0$, we can absorb the second term on the right-hand side of the above inequality and we obtain the Carleman estimate (9). Below we will derive (10).

Let us define

$$Pz := e^{s\alpha}L_0(e^{-s\alpha}z) = e^{s\alpha}L_0u.$$

We split Pz into three parts:

$$Pz = P_1z + P_2z - R_0z,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 z &= -v^2\partial_x^2 z - s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z - s(\partial_t\alpha)z, \\ P_2 z &= \partial_t z + 2s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^2\partial_x z, \\ R_0 z &= -s\lambda^2\varphi(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z - s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x^2 d)v^2 z. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\|P_1 z + P_2 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} \le 2\|Pz\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} + 2\|R_0 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)}.$$

Here,

$$\|P_1 z + P_2 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} = \|P_1 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} + \|P_2 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} + 2\int_{Q\times V}(P_1 z)(P_2 z)\,dxdvdt.$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|P_2 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} + \int_{Q\times V}(P_1 z)(P_2 z)\,dxdvdt \le \|Pz\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} + \|R_0 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)}. \quad (12)$$

Let us calculate the left-hand side of the above inequality term by term. First, using the inequality $|z_1+z_2|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2$ $(z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C})$, we have for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_2 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} &= \int_V\int_Q |P_2 z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\ge \varepsilon\int_V\int_Q \frac{1}{s\varphi}|P_2 z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_V\int_Q \frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t z|^2\,dxdtdv - 4\varepsilon v_1^4\int_V\int_Q s\lambda^2\varphi(\partial_x d)^2|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The second term can be estimated as follows. Let us write

$$\int_{Q\times V}(P_1 z)(P_2 z)\,dxdvdt = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{Q\times V}\big(-v^2\partial_x^2 z\big)\big(\partial_t z\big)\,dxdvdt, \\ I_2 &= \int_{Q\times V}\big(-v^2\partial_x^2 z\big)\big(2s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^2\partial_x z\big)\,dxdvdt, \\ I_3 &= \int_{Q\times V}\big(-s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z\big)\big(\partial_t z\big)\,dxdvdt, \\ I_4 &= \int_{Q\times V}\big(-s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2 v^2 z\big)\big(2s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^2\partial_x z\big)\,dxdvdt, \\ I_5 &= \int_{Q\times V}\big(-s(\partial_t\alpha_1)z\big)\big(\partial_t z + 2s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^2\partial_x z\big)\,dxdvdt. \end{aligned}$$

We can compute I_1 through I_6 using integration by parts and the Schwarz inequality. Note that $z(x,v,t) = \partial_t z(x,v,t) = 0$ in $\Gamma_-\times(0,T)$ because $u(x,v,t) = 0$, $(x,v,t)\in\Gamma_-\times(0,T)$. We have

$$I_1 = -\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+} v^2(\partial_x z)(\partial_t z)\nu\,dSdvdt. \quad (14)$$

For the second term, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= -\int_V \int_Q s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^4\partial_x|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\geq \int_V \int_Q s\lambda^2\varphi(\partial_x d)^2v^4|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv - C\int_V \int_Q s\lambda\varphi|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &- \int_0^T \int_V \int_{\partial\Omega} s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^4|\partial_x z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We can estimate the third term as

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\int_V \int_Q s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2(\partial_x d)^2v^2\partial_t|z|^2\,dxdtdv \geq -C\int_V \int_Q s^2\lambda^2\varphi^3|z|^2\,dxdtdv. \tag{16}$$

The fourth term is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= -\int_V \int_Q s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3v^4\partial_x|z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\geq 3\int_V \int_Q s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3(\partial_x d)^4v^4|z|^2\,dxdtdv - C\int_V \int_Q s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3|z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3v^4|z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The last term I_5 is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_V \int_Q s(\partial_t\alpha)\partial_t|z|^2\,dxdtdv - \int_V \int_Q s^2\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t\alpha)v^2\partial_x|z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\geq -C(\lambda)\int_V \int_Q (s\varphi^3 + s^2\varphi^3)|z|^2\,dxdtdv - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} s^2\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t\alpha)v^2|z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By putting (14) through (18) together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_V \int_Q s\lambda^2\varphi(\partial_x d)^2v^4|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv + 3\int_V \int_Q s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3(\partial_x d)^4v^4|z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &\leq \int_{Q\times V} (P_1 z)(P_2 z)\,dxdvdt + C\int_V \int_Q s\lambda\varphi|\partial_x z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &+ \int_V \int_Q (s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3 + s^2\lambda^2\varphi^3)|z|^2\,dxdtdv + C(\lambda)\int_V \int_Q (s\varphi^3 + s^2\varphi^3)|z|^2\,dxdtdv \\ &+ B, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} v^2(\partial_x z)(\partial_t z)\nu\,dSdvdt + \int_0^T \int_V \int_{\partial\Omega} s\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)v^4|\partial_x z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3(\partial_x d)^3v^4|z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_+} s^2\lambda\varphi(\partial_x d)(\partial_t\alpha)v^2|z|^2\nu\,dSdvdt. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder term $\|R_0 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)}$ is estimated as follows.

$$\|R_0 z\|^2_{L^2(Q\times V)} \leq C\int_V \int_Q (s^2\lambda^4\varphi^2 + s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2)|z|^2\,dxdtdv. \tag{20}$$

Let us apply the estimates (13), (19), (20) in (12). For sufficiently small ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V\int_Q \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t z|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x z|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|z|^2\right] dxdtdv \\
&\le \int_V\int_Q |Pz|^2\, dxdtdv + C\int_V\int_Q s\lambda\varphi|\partial_x z|^2\, dxdtdv \\
&+C\int_V\int_Q (s^2\lambda^4\varphi^2 + s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3 + s^2\lambda^2\varphi^3 + s^2\lambda^2\varphi^2)|z|^2\, dxdtdv \\
&+C(\lambda)\int_V\int_Q (s^2\varphi^3 + s\varphi^3 + s\varphi)|z|^2\, dxdtdv + B. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The boundary term is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
B &\le Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+} \left(|z|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\partial_x z|^2\right) dSdvdt \\
&+ Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_V |\partial_x z(0,v,t)|^2 dvdt. \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for sufficiently large s, λ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V\int_Q \left[\frac{1}{s\varphi}|\partial_t u|^2 + s\lambda^2\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 + s^3\lambda^4\varphi^3|u|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha}\, dxdtdv \\
&\le \int_V\int_Q |L_0 u|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\, dxdtdv + C\int_V\int_Q s\lambda\varphi|\partial_x u|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\, dxdtdv \\
&+C\int_V\int_Q (s^2\lambda^4\varphi^2 + s^3\lambda^3\varphi^3)|u|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\, dxdtdv + C(\lambda)\int_V\int_Q s^2\varphi^3|u|^2 e^{2s\alpha}\, dxdtdv \\
&+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_+} \left(|u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_x u|^2\right) dSdvdt \\
&+Ce^{C(\lambda)s}\int_0^T\int_V |\partial_x u(0,v,t)|^2 dvdt. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

The second, third and fourth terms on the right-hand side of (23) can be absorbed in the left-hand side, and (10) is derived. Thus the proof is complete. □

Remark 4.3. The proof is similar to the calculation in [9, 10, 31] in the sense that the same weight function is used. However, our equation contains the integral term, and furthermore the surface integral appears in the Carleman estimate due to the half-range boundary condition in (7).

5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1 by making use of Proposition 4.2.

Let us recall that $\mathbf{u}$ satisfies (5). We set

$$\mathbf{y}(x,v,t) = \partial_t \mathbf{u}(x,v,t).$$

We obtain

$$\partial_t \mathbf{y} = v^2\partial_x^2\mathbf{y} + L_1\mathbf{y} + \int_V K(x,v,v')\mathbf{y}(x,v',t)\, dv' + \partial_t \mathbf{f}(x,v,t), \qquad (24)$$

where each component of $\mathbf{y}$ satisfies $y_j(x,v,t)=0$ on $\Gamma_-\times(0,T)$ $(j=1,2)$. For $0<t_0<T$, we have

$$f_j(x,v,t_0)=y_j(x,v,t_0)-v^2\partial_x^2u_j(x,v,t_0)-L_1u_j(x,v,t_0)-\int_V K(x,v,v')u_j(x,v',t_0)\,dv', \tag{25}$$

for $j=1,2$.

Set $Q_\delta=\Omega\times(t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta)$ for $0<\delta<\min(t_0,T-t_0)$. We consider the Carleman estimate for (24) on Q_δ. We here use the following weight function for the Carleman estimate instead of $\alpha(x,t)$ in (8).

$$\alpha_\delta(x,t)=\frac{e^{\lambda d(x)}-e^{2\lambda\|d\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}}{(t-t_0+\delta)(t_0+\delta-t)}.$$

We define

$$\varphi_\delta(x,t)=\frac{e^{\lambda d(x)}}{(t-t_0+\delta)(t_0+\delta-t)}.$$

We can readily see that Proposition 4.2 holds true for $t\in(t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta)$ instead of $t\in(0,T)$. For a sufficiently large fixed $\lambda>0$, we can write the Carleman estimate in Proposition 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V\int_{Q_\delta}\left[\frac{1}{s\varphi_\delta}|\partial_ty_j|^2+s\varphi_\delta|\partial_xy_j|^2+s^3\varphi_\delta^3|y_j|^2\right]e^{2s\alpha_\delta}\,dxdtdv\\
&\le C\int_V\int_{Q_\delta}|\partial_tf_j|^2e^{2s\alpha_\delta}\,dxdtdv+Ce^{Cs}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|y_j|^2+|\partial_ty_j|^2+|\partial_xy_j|^2\right)dSdvdt\\
&+Ce^{Cs}\int_V\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}|\partial_xy_j(0,v,t)|^2\,dtdv,
\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

for $j=1,2$.

To estimate $\int_{\Omega\times V}|\partial_tu_j(x,v,t_0)|^2e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv$ from above, we note that

$$\lim_{t\to t_0-\delta+0}e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}=0\qquad\text{for}\quad x\in\Omega.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega\times V}|y_j(x,v,t_0)|^2\,e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv&=\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}\partial_t\left(\int_{\Omega\times V}|y_j(x,v,t)|^2e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\,dxdv\right)dt\\
&=\int_{\Omega\times V}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0}\left(2|y_j||\partial_ty_j|+2s(\partial_t\alpha_\delta)|y_j|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\,dtdxdv.
\end{aligned}$$

We can further estimate the above inequality by noting that $|\partial_t\alpha_\delta|\le C\varphi_\delta^2$ and using

$$|y_j||\partial_ty_j|=\frac{1}{s\sqrt{\varphi_\delta}}|\partial_ty_j|s\sqrt{\varphi_\delta}|y_j|\le\frac{1}{2s^2\varphi_\delta}|\partial_ty_j|^2+\frac{1}{2}s^2\varphi_\delta|y_j|^2,$$

and applying (26). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V} |y_j(x,v,t_0)|^2\, e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv \\
&\le C\int_V\int_{Q_\delta}\left(\frac{1}{s^2\varphi_\delta}|\partial_t y_j|^2 + s^2\varphi_\delta^2|y_j|^2\right) e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdtdv \\
&\le \frac{C}{s}\int_V\int_{Q_\delta}|\partial_t f|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdtdv + Ce^{Cs}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|y_j|^2+|\partial_t y_j|^2+|\partial_x y_j|^2\right) dSdvdt \\
&+Ce^{Cs}\int_V\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}|\partial_x y_j(0,v,t)|^2\, dtdv.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega\times V} |\partial_t u_j(x,v,t_0)|^2\, e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv \quad &\le \quad \frac{C}{s}\int_V\int_{Q_\delta}|\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdtdv \\
&+ \quad Ce^{Cs}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|\partial_t u_j|^2+|\partial_t^2 u_j|^2+|\partial_x\partial_t u_j|^2\right) dSdvdt \\
&+ \quad Ce^{Cs}\int_V\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}|\partial_x\partial_t u_j(0,v,t)|^2\, dtdv,
\end{aligned}$$

for $j=1,2$. By taking the weighted L^2 norm of (25) using the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V} |f_j(x,v,t_0)|^2\, e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv \\
&\le \int_{\Omega\times V} |\partial_t u_j(x,v,t_0)|^2\, e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv + Ce^{Cs}\left\|u_j(\cdot,\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&\le \frac{C}{s}\int_{\Omega\times V}|\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdvdt + Ce^{Cs}\left\|u_j(\cdot,\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&+Ce^{Cs}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|\partial_t u_j|^2+|\partial_t^2 u_j|^2+|\partial_x\partial_t u_j|^2\right) dSdvdt \\
&+Ce^{Cs}\int_V\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}|\partial_x\partial_t u_j(0,v,t)|^2\, dtdv, \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where the integral term on the right-hand side of (25) was estimated by a calculation similar to (11). By differentiating (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\mathbf{f}(x,v,t) = -v\partial_t R(x,v,t)\partial_x\mathbf{r}(x,v) \\
&-\left[v\partial_t\partial_x R(x,v,t)+\sigma_t(x,v)\partial_t R(x,v,t)-\partial_t\partial_t^{1/2}R(x,v,t)+\frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})t\sqrt{t}}R(x,v,0)\right]\mathbf{r}(x,v) \\
&+\sigma_s(x,v)\int_V p(x,v,v')\partial_t R(x,v',t)\mathbf{r}(x,v')\, dv'.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V \int_{Q_\delta} |\partial_t f_j|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdtdv \\
&\le C \int_V \int_{Q_\delta} \left(|r_t|^2 + |\partial_x r_t|^2 + |r_s|^2 + |\partial_x r_s|^2\right) e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t)}\, dxdtdv \\
&\le C \int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|r_t|^2 + |\partial_x r_t|^2 + |r_s|^2 + |\partial_x r_s|^2\right) e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where C depends on t_0 and δ, and we used $\alpha_\delta(x,t) \le \alpha_\delta(x,t_0)$. By (27) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V} |f_j(x,v,t_0)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv \\
&\le \frac{C}{s} \int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|\partial_x r_t|^2 + |r_t|^2 + |\partial_x r_s|^2 + |r_s|^2\right) e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv + Ce^{Cs} \left\|u_j(\cdot,\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))} \\
&+Ce^{Cs} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \int_{\Gamma_+} \left(|\partial_t u_j|^2 + |\partial_t^2 u_j|^2 + |\partial_x \partial_t u_j|^2\right)\, dSdvdt \\
&+Ce^{Cs} \int_V \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} |\partial_x \partial_t u_j(0,v,t)|^2\, dtdv, \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

where $j = 1, 2$.

Let us estimate $\int_{\Omega\times V} |f_j(x,v,t_0)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv$ from below in terms of r_t and r_s. For this purpose we use the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose* $\mathbf{w}(x,v)$ *satisfies*

$$\partial_x \mathbf{w}(x,v) + A(x,v)\mathbf{w}(x,v) + \int_V D(x,v,v')\mathbf{w}(x,v')\, dv' = \mathbf{F}(x,v),$$

where $A \in L^\infty(\Omega\times V)^{2\times 2}$ *and* $D \in L^\infty(\Omega\times V\times V)^{2\times 2}$. *Then for sufficiently large* $s > 0$, *there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_{\Omega\times V} \left[|\partial_x \mathbf{w}(x,v)|^2 + s^2 |\mathbf{w}(x,v)|^2\right] e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv \le C \int_{\Omega\times V} |\mathbf{F}(x,v)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\, dxdv,$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in H^1(\Omega; L^2(V))^2$ *and* $\mathbf{w}(0,v) = \mathbf{0}$, $v \in V$.

Proof. Let us express A, D, $\mathbf{w}$, and $\mathbf{F}$ as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x w_1(x,v) + A_{11}(x,v)w_1(x,v) + \int_V D_{11}(x,v,v')w_1(x,v')\, dv' = \tilde{F}_1(x,v), \\
&\partial_x w_2(x,v) + A_{22}(x,v)w_2(x,v) + \int_V D_{22}(x,v,v')w_2(x,v')\, dv' = \tilde{F}_2(x,v),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_1(x,v) &= F_1(x,v) - A_{12}(x,v)w_2(x,v) - \int_V D_{12}(x,v,v')w_2(x,v')\, dv', \\
\tilde{F}_2(x,v) &= F_2(x,v) - A_{21}(x,v)w_1(x,v) - \int_V D_{21}(x,v,v')w_1(x,v')\, dv'.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use Lemma A.1 in Appendix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(|\partial_x w_1(x,v)|^2+s^2|w_1(x,v)|^2+|\partial_x w_2(x,v)|^2+s^2|w_2(x,v)|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\le C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(\left|\tilde{F}_1(x,v)\right|^2+\left|\tilde{F}_2(x,v)\right|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\le C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(|F_1(x,v)|^2+|F_2(x,v)|^2\right)\,dxdv+C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(|w_1(x,v)|^2+|w_2(x,v)|^2\right)\,dxdv.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete by noticing that terms $\int_V |w_j(x,v)|^2\,dv$ $(j=1,2)$ can be absorbed to the left-hand side if s is sufficiently large. □

Recall that we assumed $\det R(x,v,t_0)\neq 0$ and $|v|>v_0>0$. We apply the above Proposition after rewriting (6) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x\mathbf{r}(x,v)+\frac{1}{v}R^{-1}(x,v,t_0)\\
&\times\left[v\partial_x R(x,v,t_0)+\sigma_t(x,v)R(x,v,t_0)-\partial_t^{1/2}R(x,v,t_0)-\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)t^{1/2}}R(x,v,0)\right]\mathbf{r}(x,v)\\
&+\int_V\left(-\frac{\sigma_s(x,v)}{v}p(x,v,v')R^{-1}(x,v,t_0)R(x,v',t_0)\right)\mathbf{r}(x,v')\,dv'\\
&=\mathbf{f}(x,v,t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(|\partial_x r_t|^2+s^2|r_t|^2+|\partial_x r_s|^2+s^2|r_s|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_{\Omega\times V}|f_j(x,v,t_0)|^2e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1-\frac{C}{s}\right)\int_{\Omega\times V}\left(|\partial_x r_t|^2+|r_t|^2+|\partial_x r_s|^2+|r_s|^2\right)e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\le Ce^{Cs}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\|u_j(\cdot,\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega;L^2(V))}\\
&+Ce^{Cs}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}\int_{\Gamma_+}\left(|\partial_t u_j|^2+|\partial_t^2 u_j|^2+|\partial_x\partial_t u_j|^2\right)\,dSdvdt\\
&+Ce^{Cs}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_V\int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta}|\partial_x\partial_t u_j(0,v,t)|^2\,d\,tdv.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take sufficiently large $s>0$, we obtain the stability estimate in Theorem 4.2. □

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the interdisciplinary project on environmental transfer of radionuclides from University of Tsukuba and Hirosaki University, by the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development, and by the A3 foresight

program "Modeling and Computation of Applied Inverse Problems" of the Japan Society of the Promotion of Science.

Appendix A.

Let us consider

$$\partial_x w(x,v) + b(x,v)w(x,v) + \int_V c(x,v,v')w(x,v')\,dv' = F(x,v), \tag{31}$$

where $b \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V)$ and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega \times V \times V)$.

Lemma A.1. *For sufficiently large $s > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega\times V} \left[|\partial_x w(x,v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x,v)|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv \le C \int_{\Omega\times V} |F(x,v)|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv,$$

for all $w \in H^1(\Omega; L^2(V))$ satisfying (31) and $w(0,v) = 0$, $v \in V$.

Proof. Hereafter we let C denote the generic constant which does not depend on s but may depend on λ.

Let us set $\widetilde{w} = we^{s\alpha_\delta(\cdot,t_0)}$ and define $\widetilde{P}$ by

$$\widetilde{P}\widetilde{w} = e^{s\alpha_\delta(\cdot,t_0)}\partial_x \left(\widetilde{w} e^{-s\alpha_\delta(\cdot,t_0)} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{P}\widetilde{w} = \partial_x \widetilde{w} - s\lambda\varphi_\delta(\cdot,t_0)(\partial_x d)\widetilde{w}.$$

Taking L^2-norm for $\widetilde{P}\widetilde{w}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \widetilde{P}\widetilde{w} \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\times V)} = \|\partial_x \widetilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\times V)} + \|s\lambda\varphi_\delta(\cdot,t_0)(\partial_x d)\widetilde{w}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\times V)} \\
&-2\int_{Q\times V} (\partial_x \widetilde{w})\left(s\lambda\varphi_\delta(\cdot,t_0)(\partial_x d)\widetilde{w}\right)\,dxdv \\
&\ge C\int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|\partial_x \widetilde{w}|^2 + s^2|\widetilde{w}|^2 \right)\,dxdv - \int_{\Omega\times V} s\lambda\varphi_\delta(\cdot,t_0)(\partial_x d)\partial_x |\widetilde{w}|^2\,dxdv \\
&\ge C\int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|\partial_x \widetilde{w}|^2 + s^2|\widetilde{w}|^2 \right)\,dxdv - C\int_{\Omega\times V} s|\widetilde{w}|^2\,dxdv,
\end{aligned}$$

where we could drop the boundary term which arose from integration by parts because $\partial_x d < 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $w(0,\cdot) = 0$ in V. Hence we have

$$\int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|\partial_x \widetilde{w}|^2 + s^2|\widetilde{w}|^2 \right)\,dxdv \le C \left\| \widetilde{P}\widetilde{w} \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\times V)} + \int_{\Omega\times V} s|\widetilde{w}|^2\,dxdv. \tag{32}$$

Taking sufficiently large $s > 0$, we may absorb the second term on the right-hand side of (32) and we have

$$\int_{\Omega\times V} \left(|\partial_x \widetilde{w}|^2 + s^2|\widetilde{w}|^2 \right)\,dxdv \le C \left\| \widetilde{P}\widetilde{w} \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega\times V)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega\times V} \left[|\partial_x w(x,v)|^2 + s^2 |w(x,v)|^2 \right] e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv \le C \int_{\Omega\times V} |\partial_x w|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv. \tag{33}$$

Since

$$|\partial_x w|^2 \le C|F|^2 + C|w|^2 + C\left| \int_V c(x,v,v')w(x,v')\,dv' \right|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V}\left[\left|\partial_x w(x,v)\right|^2+s^2\left|w(x,v)\right|^2\right]e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\leq C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|F\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv+C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|w\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&+C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|\int_V c(x,v,v')w(x,v')\,dv'\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv. \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $c\in L^\infty(\Omega\times V\times V)$ and using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|\int_V c(x,v,v')w(x,v')\,dv'\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv &\leq C\int_{\Omega\times V}\int_V w(x,v')e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dv'\,dxdv\\
&\leq C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|w\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv.
\end{aligned}$$

Together this with (34), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega\times V}\left[\left|\partial_x w(x,v)\right|^2+s^2\left|w(x,v)\right|^2\right]e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv\\
&\leq C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|F\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv+C\int_{\Omega\times V}\left|w\right|^2 e^{2s\alpha_\delta(x,t_0)}\,dxdv. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking sufficiently large $s>0$, we may absorb the second term on the right-hand side of (35) and we obtain the estimate of Lemma. □

References

[1] Acosta S. Recovery of the absorption coefficient in radiative transport from a single measurement Inv Prob Imag. 2015;9:289–300.

[2] Adams E E, Gelhar L W. Field study of dispersion in a heterogeneous aquifer 2. Spatial moments analysis. Water Res Res. 1992;28:3293–3307.

[3] Arridge S R, Schotland J C. Optical tomography: forward and inverse problems. Inverse Problems. 2009;25:123010.

[4] Bal G. Inverse transport theory and applications. Inverse Problems. 2009;25:053001.

[5] Bukhgeim A L, Klibanov M V. Global uniqueness of a class of multidimensional inverse problems. Soviet Math Dokl. 1981;24:244–7.

[6] Caputo M. Linear model of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent-II. Geophys J R Astr Soc. 1967;13:529–539.

[7] Carleman T. Sur un problème d'unicité pour les systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles à deux variables indépendantes. Ark Mat Astr Fys. 1939;26B:1–9.

[8] Cheng J, Nakagawa J, Yamamoto M, Yamazaki T. Uniqueness in an inverse problem for a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation. Inverse Problems. 2009;25:115002.

[9] Èmanuilov O Yu. Controllability of parabolic equations. Sbornik Math. 1995;186:879–900.

[10] Fursikov A V, Imanuvilov O Y. Controllability of Evolution Equations. (Lecture Notes Series vol. 34). Seoul (Korea): Seoul National University; 1996.

[11] Gaitan P, Ouzzane H. Inverse problem for a free transport equation using Carleman estimates. Appl Anal. 2013;93:1073–1086.

[12] Hatano Y, Hatano N. Dispersive transport of ions in column experiments: An explanation of long-tailed profiles. Water Resour Res. 1998;134:1027–1033.

[13] Hatano Y, Nakagawa J, Wang S, Yamamoto M. Determination of order in fractional diffusion equation. J Math-for-Industry. 2013;5:51–57.

[14] Hörmander L. Linear Partial Differential Operators. Berlin (Germany): Springer-Verlag; 1963.

[15] Imanuvilov O Yu, Yamamoto M. Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate. Inverse Problems. 1998;14:1229–1245.

[16] Jin B, Rundell W. An inverse problem for a one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion problem. Inverse Problems. 2012;28:075010.
[17] Klibanov M V. Carleman estimates for global uniqueness, stability and numerical methods for coefficient inverse problems. J Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. 2013;21:477–560.
[18] Klibanov M V, Pamyatnykh S E. Lipschitz stability of a non-standard problem for the non-stationary transport equation via a Carleman estimate. Inverse Problems. 2006;22:881–890.
[19] Klibanov M V, Yamamoto M. Exact controllability for the time dependent transport equation. SIAM J Control Optim. 2007;46:2071–2195.
[20] Lin C-L, Nakamura G. Unique continuation property for anomalous slow diffusion equation. Comm Partial Diff Eq. 2016;41:749–758.
[21] Liu J J, Yamamoto M, Yan L. On the uniqueness and reconstruction for an inverse problem of the fractional diffusion process. Appl Num Math. 2015;87:1–19.
[22] Machida M 2016, arXiv:1602.05382.
[23] Machida M, Yamamoto M. Global Lipschitz stability in determining coefficients of the radiative transport equation. Inverse Problems. 2014;30:035010.
[24] Metzler R, Klafter J. The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. Phys Rep. 2000;339:1–77.
[25] Prilepko A I, Ivankov A L. Inverse problems for the time-dependent transport equation. Sovviet Math Dokl. 1984;29:559–564.
[26] Sokolov I M, Klafter J, Blumen A. Fractional kinetics. Phys Today. 2000;55:48–54.
[27] Williams M M R. Stochastic problems in the transport of radioactive nuclides in fractured rock. Nucl Sci Eng. 1992;112:215–230.
[28] Williams M M R. Radionuclide transport in fractured rock a new model: application and discussion. Ann Nucl Energy. 1993;20:279–297.
[29] Xu X, Cheng J, Yamamoto M. Carleman estimate for a fractional diffusion equation with half order and application. Applicable Analysis. 2011;90:1355–1371.
[30] Yamakawa M. A study on the dependence of CTRW parameters on particle sizes in porus media (Japanese) [master thesis]. Tsukuba (Japan): Department of Risk Engineering, University of Tsukuba; 2012.
[31] Yamamoto M. Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications. Inverse Problems. 2009;25:123013.
[32] Yamamoto M, Zhang Y. Conditional stability in determining a zeroth-order coefficient in a half-order fractional diffusion equation by a Carleman estimate. Inverse Problems. 2012;28:105010.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: kawamo@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Institute for Medical Photonics Research, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan
E-mail address: machida@hama-med.ac.jp